arXiv:1510.07055v2 [math.AP] 22 Apr 2016

THE DOMAIN GEOMETRY AND THE BUBBLING PHENOMENON OF RANK TWO GAUGE THEORY

HSIN-YUAN HUANG AND LEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let Ω be a flat torus and G be the green's function of $-\Delta$ on Ω. One intriguing mystery of G is how the number of its critical points is related to blowup solutions of certain PDEs. In this article we prove that for the following equation that describes a Chern-Simons model in Gauge theory:

e103 (0.1) $$\begin{cases} \Delta u_1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} e^{u_2}(1-e^{u_1}) = 8\pi\delta_{p_1} \\ \Delta u_2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} e^{u_1}(1-e^{u_2}) = 8\pi\delta_{p_2} \end{cases} \text{ in } \quad \Omega\,, \quad p_1 - p_2 \text{ is a half period,}$$

if fully bubbling solutions of Liouville type exist, G has exactly three critical points. In addition we establish necessary conditions for the existence of fully bubbling solutions with multiple bubbles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ be a flat torus, Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator with the property $-\Delta \geq 0$ and we use $G(\cdot,\cdot)$ to denote the Green's function of $-\Delta$ over Ω with periodical boundary condition:

(1.1) $$\begin{cases} -\Delta G(x,p) = \delta_p - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}, \\ G(x,p) \text{ is doubly periodic on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $|\Omega|$ is the measure of Ω, δ_p stands for the Dirac mass at $p \in \Omega$. Although $G(x,p)$ can be explicitly solved in terms of elliptic functions, it was only found out recently that $G(x,p)$ has either three or five critical points, as a function of x. In their celebrated work [31] Lin and Wang reveal the surprising ties between the number of critical points of G with the bubbling phenomena of the following mean field equation

e101 (1.2) $$\Delta u + e^u = \rho\delta_p.$$

Note that $G(x,p) = G(x-p,0)$ because of the translation invariance. For simplicity, we may only consider $G(x) = G(x,0)$. Since $G(x)$ is even, it is easy to see that the half period $\frac{1}{2}\omega_1$, $\frac{1}{2}\omega_2$ and $\frac{1}{2}\omega_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1+\omega_2)$ are critical points and other critical points must appear in pairs with some symmetry. When $\rho = 8\pi$, Lin-Wang showed that there is only one extra pair of critical points which corresponds to an one-parameter scaling family of solutions to (1.2). We refer the readers to [29, 9] for more recent developments on this direction.

Date: April 25, 2016.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.*

Key words and phrases. Green's function, Chern-Simons, Gauge theory, fully bubbling solutions.

The first main result in this paper is to connect the number of critical points of the Green's function over Ω with the bubbling phenomenon of the following system:

e102 (1.3)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_2}(1-e^{u_1}) = 8\pi\delta_{p_1} \\ \Delta u_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_1}(1-e^{u_2}) = 8\pi\delta_{p_2} \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

System (1.3) arises from the relativistic self-dual $[U(1)]^2$ Chern-Simons model proposed by Kim et al[26]. It is known that the number of critical points of the Green function depends on the geometry of the underlying flat torus. Our first main result is:

thm2 **Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose $(u_{1,\varepsilon}, u_{2,\varepsilon})$ is a sequence of fully bubbling solutions of Liouville type to* (1.3) *and $p_1 - p_2$ is one of the half periods. Then the Green's function over Ω has three critical points.*

The definition of fully bubbling solutions of the Liouville type will be given later in this section.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on recent developments on the Green's function over flat torus in [31, 29, 9] and necessary conditions of fully bubbling solutions in Theorem 1.2. Here we first give a brief introduction of the physical background of (1.3).

In the works of Hong, Kim and Pac[22], and Jackiw and Weinberg[25], a model with one Chern-Simons gauge field was considered and selfdual Abelian Chern–Simons–Higgs vortices were constructed to describe anyonic solitons in 2+1 dimensions. Later, Speilman et al.[40] observed no parity breaking in an experiment with high temperature superconductivity. Hagen [20] and Wilczek [44] indicated that the parity broken may not happen in a field theory with even number of Chern-Simons gauge fields. One of the simplest models of this kind is the $[U(1)]^2$ Chern-Simons model of two Higgs fields, where each of them is coupled to one of two Chern–Simons fields. The Lagrangian action density for the $[U(1)]^2$ Chern-Simons model is given by

a1 (1.4)
$$\mathscr{L} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\left(A_\mu^{(1)}F_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} + A_\mu^{(2)}F_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} D_\mu\phi_i\overline{D^\mu\phi_i} - V(\phi_1,\phi_2),$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a coupling parameter, $(A_\mu^{(1)})$ and $(A_\mu^{(2)})$ are two Abelian gauge fields with the electromagnetic fields $F_{\mu\nu}^{(i)} = \partial_\mu A_\nu^{(i)} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^{(i)}$, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are two Higgs scalar fields with the covariant derivatives $D_\mu\phi_i = \partial_\mu\phi_i - \mathrm{i}A_\mu^{(i)}\phi_i (\mu = 0,1,2,\, i = 1,2)$, and the Higgs potential $V(\phi_1,\phi_2)$ takes the following form:

(1.5)
$$V(\phi_1,\phi_2) = \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2}\left(|\phi_2|^2\left[|\phi_1|^2-1\right]^2 + |\phi_1|^2\left[|\phi_2|^2-1\right]^2\right).$$

After a BPS reduction [1, 39], we obtain that the energy minimizer satisfies the following self-dual equation:

er3 (1.6)
$$\begin{cases} D_1\phi_k + \mathrm{i}D_2\phi_k = 0, \quad k = 1,2, \\ F_{12}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}|\phi_2|^2\left(|\phi_1|^2 - 1\right) = 0, \\ F_{12}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}|\phi_1|^2\left(|\phi_2|^2 - 1\right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $u_{i,\varepsilon} = \ln|\phi_i|^2$, and $\{p_{i,1}, \ldots, p_{i,N_i}\}$ be the zeros of ϕ_i for $i = 1,2$. Then $(u_{1,\varepsilon}, u_{2,\varepsilon})$ satisfies

e001 (1.7)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}e^{u_2}(1 - e^{u_1}) = 4\pi\sum_{i=1}^{N_1}\delta_{p_{1,i}} \\ \Delta u_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}e^{u_1}(1 - e^{u_2}) = 4\pi\sum_{i=1}^{N_2}\delta_{p_{2,i}} \end{cases} \text{in} \quad \Omega\,,$$

where Ω is either a flat torus or $\mathbb{R}^2$. See [26, 19, 27] for the details of the derivation of (1.7) from (1.6). In this paper, we consider the case of flat torus. We refer the readers to [27, 28, 10, 24, 21, 11] and reference therein for recent developments.

When $u_1 \equiv u_2$ and $\{p_i^1\}_{i=1}^{N_1} = \{p_j^2\}_{j=1}^{N_2}$, the system (1.7) reduces to the Abelian Chern–Simons equation with one Higgs particle proposed by Kim–Pac[22] and Jackiw–Weinberg[25],

eq17 (1.8)
$$\Delta u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}e^u(1 - e^u) = 4\pi\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta_{p_i},$$

which has been extensively studied for more than twenty years. We refer the readers to [41, 42, 3, 13, 18, 43, 4, 14, 16, 17] and the reference therein for more details.

Choe and Kim[15] established the Brezis-Merle type alternative [2] for (1.8). They showed that (1.8) may have a sequence of solutions satisfying the following:

There is a finite set $\{x_{1,\varepsilon}, \cdots, x_{l,\varepsilon}\}$, $x_{j,\varepsilon} \in \Omega$, $j = 1, \cdots, l$, *such that, as* $\varepsilon \to 0$

eq19 (1.9)
$$u_\varepsilon(x_{j,\varepsilon}) + 2\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \to \infty,\ j = 1, \cdots, l,$$

and

eq20 (1.10)
$$u_\varepsilon + 2\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \to -\infty \text{ uniformly on any compact subset of } \Omega \setminus \{q_1, \cdots, q_l\},$$

where $q_j = \lim_\varepsilon x_{j,\varepsilon}$.

Solutions of (1.8) satisfying (1.9) and (1.10) are called *bubbling solutions* or *blow-up solutions* and q_j is called the blow-up point of the bubbling solution. We denote $\beta_{j,\varepsilon} = u_\varepsilon(x_{j,\varepsilon})$. It was shown in [15] that either $\beta_{j,\varepsilon} \to -\infty$ or $\beta_{j,\varepsilon}$ is bounded. After suitable re-scaling, the bubbling solutions u_ε converge to an entire solution of either

eq411 (1.11)
$$\Delta u + |x|^{2m}e^u(1 - |x|^m e^u) = 0,$$

or

eq421 (1.12)
$$\Delta u + |x|^{2m}e^u = 0.$$

Here, $m = 0$ if q_j is not a vortex point and $m = \#\{p_i : p_i = q_j\}$ if q_j is a vortex point. The bubbling solutions are called *Chern-Simons type* if the limiting equation

is (1.11) and *mean field type* if the limiting equation is (1.12). The existence and non-existence of bubbling solutions of (1.8) have been studied in a series of work of Lin and Yan[35, 33, 36].

Set

$$u_{0,1}(x) = -4\pi \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} G(x, p_{1,i}) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{0,2}(x) = -4\pi \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} G(x, p_{2,i}). \tag{1.13}$$

By the transformation $u_i \to u_i + u_{0,i} (i = 1,2)$, (1.7) is reduced to the following system.

e002

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_2 + u_{2,0}} (1 - e^{u_1 + u_{0,1}}) = \frac{4\pi N_1}{|\Omega|} \\ \Delta u_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_1 + u_{1,0}} (1 - e^{u_2 + u_{0,2}}) = \frac{4\pi N_2}{|\Omega|} \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.14}$$

where u_1 and u_2 are doubly periodic on $\partial\Omega$.

The sequence of solutions $(u_{1,\varepsilon}, u_{2,\varepsilon})$ of (1.14) is said to be *fully bubbling* if there exist $\{x_{1,j,\varepsilon}\}_{j=1}^k$ and $\{x_{2,j,\varepsilon}\}_{j=1}^k$ such that

(1) $q_j = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} x_{1,j,\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} x_{2,j,\varepsilon}$, $j = 1, \cdots, k$. $u_{i,\varepsilon}(x_{i,j,\varepsilon}) = \max_{B_d(q_j)} u_{i,\varepsilon}$ for $i = 1,2$, where $B_d(q_j)$ is the ball centered at q_j with radius d. Here we assume that $d > 0$ is small so that $B_d(q_j) \cap B_d(q_m) = \emptyset$ if $j \neq m$.
(2) $u_{i,\varepsilon}(x_{i,j,\varepsilon}) + 2\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \to +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $i = 1,2$, $j = 1, \cdots, k$.
(3) $u_{i,\varepsilon}(x) + 2\ln\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \to -\infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ uniformly on any compact set of $\Omega \setminus \{q_1, \cdots, q_k\}$.

In this paper, we will investigate the *fully bubbling solutions* to (1.7) satisfying the following assumptions. For $i = 1,2$, $j = 1, \cdots, k$

(A1) $\beta_{j,\varepsilon} = \max\{u_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{1,j,\varepsilon}), u_{2,\varepsilon}(x_{2,j,\varepsilon})\} \to -\infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$,
(A2) $|u_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_{1,j,\varepsilon}) - u_{2,\varepsilon}(x_{2,j,\varepsilon})| = O(1)$
(A3) $|x_{i,j,\varepsilon} - q_j| < C\varepsilon e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}$ for some constant $C > 0$.

Solutions satisfying (1),(2), (3) and (A1),(A2), (A3) are called *fully bubbling solutions of Liouville type* since after suitable rescaling, their limiting equations are a Liouville system (see (3.2) below).

As mentioned before, one essential ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is a necessary condition for the fully bubbling solutions of Liouville type whose blow-up points are regular points. Before we state the second main result in this paper, we introduce some notations. Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \cdots, q_k)$, $q_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $i = 1, \cdots, k$,

$$G_1^*(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=1}^k u_{0,1}(q_i) + 8\pi \sum_{1\le i<j\le k} G(q_i, q_j) \tag{1.15}$$

and

$$G_2^*(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=1}^k u_{0,2}(q_i) + 8\pi \sum_{1\le i<j\le k} G(q_i, q_j). \tag{1.16}$$

Denote the function $f_{i,j}(i=1,2,,\ j=1,\cdots,k)$ as follows.

(1.17)
$$f_{i,j}(x)=8\pi\left(\gamma(x,q_j)-\gamma(q_j,q_j))+\sum_{l\neq j}(G(x,q_l)-G(q_j,q_l)\right)+u_{0,i}(x)-u_{0,i}(q_j),$$

where $\gamma(x,q)$ is the regular part of $G(x,p)$. We define the quantity $\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q})$ as follows

e052
$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q})=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\Bigg(&\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{\rho_{1,j}}{e^{u_{0,1}(q_1)}}\left(\int_{\Omega_j\setminus B_\delta(q_j)}\frac{e^{f_{1,j}}-1}{|x-q_j|^4}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}\frac{1}{|x-q_j|^4}\right)\\&+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{\rho_{2,j}}{e^{u_{0,2}(q_1)}}\left(\int_{\Omega_j\setminus B_\delta(q_j)}\frac{e^{f_{2,j}}-1}{|x-q_j|^4}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}\frac{1}{|x-q_j|^4}\right)\Bigg)\end{aligned}\tag{1.18}$$

where $\{\Omega_j\}_{j=1,\cdots,k}$ is any open set with

e108
$$\begin{cases}(1)\quad \Omega_i\cap\Omega_j=\emptyset,\quad i\neq j,\quad i,j\in\{1,\cdots,k\},\\(2)\quad \cup_{j=1}^{k}\overline{\Omega_j}=\overline{\Omega},\\(3)\quad B_\delta(q_j)\subset\subset\Omega_j,\quad j=1,\cdots,k,\end{cases}\tag{1.19}$$

and $\rho_{1,j}=e^{8\pi(\gamma(q_j,q_j)+\sum_{l\neq j}G(q_j,q_l))+u_{0,1}(q_j)},\quad \rho_{2,j}=e^{8\pi(\gamma(q_j,q_j)+\sum_{l\neq j}G(q_j,q_l))+u_{0,2}(q_j)}$. Note that throughout this article we use $B_\delta(q)$ to represent the ball centered at q with radius δ. If q is the origin we use B_δ.

main1 **Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose $(u_{1,\varepsilon},u_{2,\varepsilon})$ is a sequence of fully bubbling solution of Liouville type to* (1.14) *whose blow up set is $\mathbf{q}=\{q_1,\cdots,q_k\}\notin\{p_{1,i},p_{2,i}\}_{i=1,2}$. Suppose in addition that $N_1=N_2=2k$. Then*

(1) $(u_{0,1}-u_{0,2})(q_i)=(u_{0,1}-u_{0,2})(q_j),\quad 1\leq i,j\leq k$;
(2) $\mathbf{q}$ *is a critical point of G_1^* and G_2^*;*
(3) $\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q})\leq 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is established by delicate blowup analysis, which is involved with handling difficulties that had never appeared before. One crucial estimate is to prove that the blowup solutions are very close to a sequence of global solutions. In order to achieve this goal we need to prove that the energy of the blowup solutions is very close to that of the global solution **on each component!** It is well known that for such systems there is only one Pohozaev identity, which is not enough for us to determine the energy of each component precisely. In this article we take advantage of the special form of the main equation to overcome this major difficulty. Our approach is likely to impact the study of bubbling solutions of many Liouville systems. The detailed discuss will be carried out in later sections. The following theorem of the first author actually proves that fully bubbling solutions of Liouville type do exist:

Theorem A [23] *Let $\{q_1,\cdots,q_k\}$ be regular points. Assume that*

(1) $\mathbf{q}$ *be a critical point of G_1^* and G_2^**
(2) $\mathbf{q}$ *be non-degenerate critical point of $G_1^*+G_2^*$*
(3) $\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q})<0$

(4) $(u_{0,1}-u_{0,2})(q_i)=(u_{0,1}-u_{0,2})(q_j)$, $1\le i,j\le k$.

Then there exists a sequence of fully bubbling solutions of Liouville type to (1.14).

The organization of this paper is as follows. The second section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we obtain the rough profile of the bubbling solutions. In Section 4, we define the approximating solutions to the bubbling solution and establish estimates of error terms. Then in Section 5, we prove that the blow-up set $\mathbf{q}$ is the critical point of G_1^* and G_2^*, and further improve the estimate in Section 4, which leads to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In the beginning of this section, we present some properties of the Green function defined on a flat torus $\Omega=\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\omega_1\times\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\omega_2$. Lin and Wang[31] used nonlinear partial differential equations to study the number of critical points of Green function on flat torus.

Theorem 2.1. [31] *The Green function has at most five critical points.*

Note that half periods $\frac{1}{2}\omega_1$, $\frac{1}{2}\omega_2$ and $\frac{1}{2}(\omega_1+\omega_2)=\frac{1}{2}\omega_3$ are three obvious critical points of $G(x,0)$ and the other two critical points(non-trivial critical points) can be denoted as $\pm a$.

Denote

$$\mathscr{D}(q)=e^{-8\pi G(q,0)}\left(\int_\Omega \frac{e^{8\pi(\gamma(z,q)-\gamma(q,q)+G(q,0)-G(z,0))}-1}{|z-q|^4}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega}\frac{1}{|z-q|^4}\right) \tag{2.1}$$

By [29] and [9], we know the sign of $\mathscr{D}(q)$.

thm53 **Theorem 2.2.** [29, 9] *Suppose $G(x,0)$ has extra critical points, then*

$$\mathscr{D}(\frac{\omega_k}{2})>0,\ k=1,2,3,$$

and

$$\mathscr{D}(\textit{extra critical point})=0.$$

(**Proof of Theorem 1.1**) Let $(u_{1,\varepsilon},u_{2,\varepsilon})$ be a Liouville type fully bubbling solution of

$$\begin{cases}\Delta u_{1,\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}})=8\pi\delta_{p_1}\\ \Delta u_{2,\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}})=8\pi\delta_{p_2}\end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

and $p_1-p_2\in\{\frac{\omega_k}{2}\}_{k=1,2,3}$. We assume that q is the blow-up point. We will prove this theorem by contradiction, so assume the critical points of $G(x,0)$ are $\{\frac{\omega_k}{2},\pm a\}_{k=1,2,3}$. By Theorem 1.2, q is a critical point of $G(x,p_1)$ and $G(x,p_2)$, i.e.

$$\nabla G(q,p_1)=\nabla G(q-p_1,0)=0\quad\text{ and }\quad\nabla G(q,p_2)=\nabla G(q-p_2,0)=0. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, $q-p_1\in\{\frac{\omega_k}{2},\pm a\}_{k=1,2,3}$ and $q-p_2\in\{\frac{\omega_k}{2},\pm a\}_{k=1,2,3}$. We will discuss all possible cases and obtain a contradiction.

Note that

$$\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(q)=\frac{\mathscr{D}(q-p_1)}{e^{-8\pi G(q,p_1)}}+\frac{\mathscr{D}(q-p_2)}{e^{-8\pi G(q,p_2)}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, if one of $q-p_1$ and $q-p_2$ belongs to $\{\frac{\omega_k}{2}\}_{k=1,2,3}$, Theorem 2.2 implies $\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(q)>0$, which is a contradiction to Theorem 1.2.

Finally, if $q-p_1,\ q-p_2\in\{\pm a\}$, we may assume $q-p_1=a$ and $q-p_2=-a$ which implies $p_2-p_1=2a$. Since we assume $p_2-p_1\in\{\frac{\omega_k}{2}\}_{k=1,2,3}$, thus $a\in\{\frac{\omega_k}{4}\}_{k=1,2,3}$ which is called *four torsion point*. By Theorem 1.1 of [9], a four torsion point cannot be a critical point of $G(x,0)$. We thus conclude that $G(x,0)$ has exactly three critical points.

3. Profile of the bubbling solutions

In this section, we show the local uniform estimate for the bubbling solution. Here we recall that $(u_{1,\varepsilon},u_{2,\varepsilon})$ is a sequence of fully bubbling solutions of Liouville type to (1.14).

We assume that $\max\{u_{1,\varepsilon}(x),u_{2,\varepsilon}(x)\}$ is attained at $x_{j,\varepsilon}$ for x near q_j and set

scal-fac
$$\mu_{j,\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{-1}e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Here we recall that $\beta_{j,\varepsilon}\to-\infty$ and $\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\to\infty$ as $\varepsilon\to0$. Let $\overline{u}_{i,\varepsilon}$ be defined as

$$\overline{u}_{i,\varepsilon}(x)=u_{i,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x+x_{j,\varepsilon})-\beta_{j,\varepsilon},\quad i=1,2.$$

Then we prove that $(\overline{u}_{1,\varepsilon}(x),\overline{u}_{2,\varepsilon}(x))$ can be accurately approximated by the solutions to the following Liouville system

e092
$$\begin{cases}\Delta V_{1,j,\varepsilon}+e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{V_{2,j,\varepsilon}}=0\\ \Delta V_{2,j,\varepsilon}+e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{V_{1,j,\varepsilon}}=0\quad\text{in}\quad\mathbb{R}^2,\end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

and we use $(\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon},\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon})=(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{V_{2,j,\varepsilon}},\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{V_{1,j,\varepsilon}})$ to denote the energy of $(V_{1,j,\varepsilon},V_{2,j,\varepsilon})$. Fix $\delta>0$, we set the local energy of $(u_{1,\varepsilon},u_{2,\varepsilon})$

$$\begin{cases}m_{1,j,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{u_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}),\\ m_{2,j,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{u_{1,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}(1-e^{u_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}),\end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

and the partition energy of $(u_{1,\varepsilon},u_{2,\varepsilon})$

$$\begin{cases}M_{1,j,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j}e^{u_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}),\\ M_{2,j,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j}e^{u_{1,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}(1-e^{u_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}),\end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

where Ω_j is defined in (1.19). One crucial step in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the closeness of $m_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ as well as 8π and $\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}$. It is easy to prove that $\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ satisfies

e090
$$\frac{1}{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}=\frac{1}{4\pi}. \tag{3.5}$$

But in order to obtain accurate estimate between $\bar{u}_{i,\varepsilon}$ and $V_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ we need precise estimate of $8\pi - \tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ for each $i = 1, ..., k$. In this section we shall first establish

e094 (3.6) $$m_{i,j,\varepsilon} - 8\pi = o(1), \quad i = 1,2,\ j = 1, \cdots, k,$$

and eventually we shall prove

e093 (3.7) $$\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon} - 8\pi = O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1} + e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}).$$

It is also interesting to compare our system with $SU(3)$ Toda system. For the result (2) in Theorem 1.2, it is not simply obtained by the same argument in $SU(3)$ Toda system[32] because of different dimension of kernel space at an entire solution. Due to the coupling term $e^{u_1+u_2}$ in (1.7), we only know that the blow-up set $\mathbf{q}$ is the critical point of $G_1^* + G_2^*$(see Lemma 3.2). Another difficulty arises from this fact when we estimate the error term $(\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}, \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})$(see (4.7)).

pro21 **Proposition 3.1.** *Let $(u_{1,\varepsilon}, u_{2,\varepsilon})$ be a bubbling solution of* (1.14)*, then*

e057 (3.8) $$\left| u_{i,j,\varepsilon} - \beta_{j,\varepsilon} - \frac{m_{i,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x - x_{j,\varepsilon})|} \right| \leq C$$

for $x \in B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon}) \setminus B_{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}}(x_\varepsilon)$. Furthermore,

(3.9) $$|m_{i,j,\varepsilon} - 8\pi| = o(1),\ i = 1,2,\ j = 1, \cdots, k.$$

The proof of this proposition is quite long and starts with some preliminary lemmas. We first investigate the behavior of $(u_{1,\varepsilon}, u_{2,\varepsilon})$ away from the blow-up set $\{q_1, \cdots, q_k\}$. Even though we assume $N_1 = N_2 = 2k$, the following two lemmas are still true for (N_1, N_2) satisfing

$$\frac{N_1}{4k} + \frac{N_2}{4k} = 1.$$

lem21 **Lemma 3.1.** *For small $\theta > 0$, it holds*

(3.10) $$u_{i,\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u_{i,\varepsilon} \to \sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j}^* G(q_j, x) \quad \text{in } C^1(\Omega \setminus \cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(q_j))$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where $m_{i,j}^* = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} m_{i,j,\varepsilon}$, $i = 1,2,\ j = 1, \cdots, k$.

Proof. We only prove the case $i = 1$. By the assumptions on the fully bubbling solutions, we find for any $\theta \in (0, \delta)$,

(3.11) $$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1 - e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) = o(1) \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(q_j)$$

So,

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_\theta(q_j)} e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1 - e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) = m_{1,j,\varepsilon} + o(1) = m_{1,j}^* + o(1).$$

By this and Green representation, we see that for $x \in \Omega \setminus \cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(q_j)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_{1,\varepsilon}(x) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon} \\
=&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega G(y,x)e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) \\
=&\sum_{j=1}^k m_{1,j}^* G(q_j,x) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\sum_{j=1}^k \int_{B_\theta(q_i)} \Big(G(y,x)-G(q_j,x)\Big)e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) + o(1) \\
=&\sum_{j=1}^k m_{1,j}^* G(q_j,x) + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

where the following fact is used:

new-a (3.12) $$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\theta(q_j)} |y-q_j| e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) = o(1).$$

Indeed, by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) \to 0$ away from blowup points and the fact that $|y-q_j| \to 0$ as $y \to q_j$, we see that (3.12) holds easily.

Similarly we have

e066 (3.13) $$Du_{1,\varepsilon}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k m_{1,j}^* DG(q_j,x) + o(1), \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(q_j).$$

□

The location of the blow-up point can be determined by the Pohozaev identity below.

lem32 **Lemma 3.2.** *For $j = 1, \cdots, k$, it holds*

e054 (3.14) $$\begin{aligned}
&m_{1,j}^* \left(\frac{\partial u_{0,2}}{\partial x_h}\Big|_{x=q_j} + \sum_{l\neq k, 1\le l\le k} m_{2,l}^* \frac{\partial G(q_l,q_j)}{\partial x_h} \right) \\
+&m_{2,j}^* \left(\frac{\partial u_{0,1}}{\partial x_h}\Big|_{x=q_j} + \sum_{l\neq k, 1\le l\le k} m_{1,l}^* \frac{\partial G(q_l,q_j)}{\partial x_h} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix $j \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ and let

$$\overline{u}_{1,\varepsilon} = u_{1,\varepsilon} - \frac{N_1\pi|x-q_j|^2}{|\Omega|}, \quad \overline{u}_{2,\varepsilon} = u_{2,\varepsilon} - \frac{N_2\pi|x-q_j|^2}{|\Omega|}.$$

Then $(\overline{u}_{1,\varepsilon}, \overline{u}_{2,\varepsilon})$ satisfies

e041 (3.15) $$\begin{cases} \Delta\overline{u}_{1,\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}h_2(x)e^{\overline{u}_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-h_1(x)e^{\overline{u}_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) = 0 \\ \Delta\overline{u}_{2,\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}h_1(x)e^{\overline{u}_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}(1-h_2(x)e^{\overline{u}_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $h_1(x) = e^{\frac{N_1\pi|x-q_j|^2}{|\Omega|}}$ and $h_2(x) = e^{\frac{N_2\pi|x-q_j|^2}{|\Omega|}}$. For $h = 1, 2$, we consider the following Pohozaev identity for (3.15), which is obtained by multiplying $D_h\overline{u}_{2,\varepsilon}$ to

the first equation and $D_h\bar{u}_{1,\varepsilon}$ to the second equation and integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\partial B_\theta(q_j)} \Big(\langle \nu, D\bar{u}_{1,\varepsilon}\rangle D_h\bar{u}_{2,\varepsilon} + \langle \nu, D\bar{u}_{2,\varepsilon}\rangle D_h\bar{u}_{1,\varepsilon} - \nu_h \langle D\bar{u}_{1,\varepsilon}, D\bar{u}_{2,\varepsilon}\rangle \Big) \\
=&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\theta(q_j)} \Big(e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}})(D_h u_{0,2} + \frac{2N_2\pi(x-q_j)_h}{|\Omega|}) \\
&\qquad + e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}(1-e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}})(D_h u_{0,1} + \frac{2N_1\pi(x-q_j)_h}{|\Omega|})\Big) \\
&-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\partial B_\theta(q_j)} \nu_h (e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}} + e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}} - e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}+u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where ν stands for the outer normal vector and $\nu_h =< \nu, h >$. Then by Lemma 3.1 and (3.12) the right hand side (RHS) of (3.16) tends to

$$m^*_{1,j} D_h u_{0,2}(q_j) + m^*_{2,j} D_h u_{0,1}(q_j). \tag{3.17}$$

In order to evaluate the left hand side of (3.16) we introduce the following functions:

$$F_i(x,q_j) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{i,\varepsilon} + \sum_{l=1}^k M_{i,j,\varepsilon} G(x,q_l) - \frac{N_i\pi|x-q_j|^2}{|\Omega|}, \tag{3.18}$$

for $i = 1,2$ and $j = 1,\cdots,k$. It is easy to see that $\Delta F_i(x,q_j) = 0$ for $x \neq q_j$. By this, (3.18) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{LHS of (3.16)} \\
=&\int_{\partial B_\theta(q_j)} \big\langle \nu, DF_2(x,q_j)\big\rangle D_h F_1(x,q_j) + \big\langle \nu, DF_1(x,q_j)\big\rangle D_h F_2(x,q_j) \\
&-\int_{\partial B_\theta(q_j)} \nu_h \big\langle DF_1(x,q_j), DF_2(x,q_j)\big\rangle + o_\varepsilon(1) \\
=&\int_{\partial B_\theta(q_j)} \left\langle \nu, D\sum_{l=1}^k m^*_{2,l} G(x,q_l))\right\rangle D_h \sum_{l=1}^k m^*_{1,l} G(x,q_l) \\
&+\left\langle \nu, D\sum_{l=1}^k m^*_{1,l} G(x,q_l)\right\rangle D_h \sum_{l=1}^k m^*_{2,l} G(x,q_l) \\
&-\left\langle D\sum_{l=1}^k m^*_{1,l} G(x,q_l), D\sum_{l=1}^k m^*_{2,l} G(x,q_l)\right\rangle \nu_h + o_\varepsilon(1) + o_\theta(1) \\
=&-\sum_{l\neq k, 1\leq l\leq k} (m^*_{1,j} m^*_{2,l} + m^*_{2,j} m^*_{1,l}) \frac{\partial G(q_l,q_j)}{\partial x_h} + o_\varepsilon(1) + o_\theta(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Thus, (3.14) follows from (3.17) and (3.19).

□

Next, we will show that $m^*_{i,j}=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} m_{i,j,\varepsilon}=8\pi$ when $N_1=N_2=2k$ for $i=1,2$, $j=1,\cdots,k$.

lem8pi **Lemma 3.3.** *For $i=1,2$, $j=1,\cdots,k$, it holds*

e107
$$m^*_{i,j}=8\pi. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. Set

$$v_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x)=u_{1,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x+x_{j,\varepsilon})-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}, v_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x)=u_{2,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x+x_{j,\varepsilon})-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}.$$

Then $(v_{1,j,\varepsilon},v_{2,j,\varepsilon})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\Delta v_{1,j,\varepsilon}+e^{v_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x+x_{j,\varepsilon})}(1-e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}e^{v_{1,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x+x_{j,\varepsilon})})=\frac{4\pi N_1\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}}{|\Omega|},\\ \Delta v_{2,j,\varepsilon}+e^{v_{1,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x+x_{j,\varepsilon})}(1-e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}e^{v_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x+x_{j,\varepsilon})})=\frac{4\pi N_2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}}{|\Omega|}.\end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

Note that $\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}$, $e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}\to 0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$. Thus, we have

$$(v_{1,j,\varepsilon},v_{2,j,\varepsilon})\to(V_{1,j},V_{2,j}) \quad \text{in} \quad C^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where $(V_{1,j},V_{2,j})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\Delta V_{1,j}+e^{u_{0,2}(q_j)}e^{V_{2,j}}=0,\\ \Delta V_{2,j}+e^{u_{0,1}(q_j)}e^{V_{1,j}}=0,\\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{u_{0,2}(q_j)}e^{V_{2,j}}=M_{1,j},\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}e^{u_{0,1}(q_j)}e^{V_{1,j}}=M_{2,j},\end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

and

e106
$$m^*_{1,j}\geq M_{1,j} \quad \text{and} \quad m^*_{2,j}\geq M_{2,j}. \tag{3.23}$$

On the other hand, it was proved by Chanillo-Kiessling[5] that $(M_{1,j},M_{2,j})$ satisfies the following Pohozaev identity

Mij
$$M_{1,j}+M_{2,j}=\frac{M_{1,j}M_{2,j}}{4\pi} \tag{3.24}$$

which implies $M_{1,j}=\frac{4\pi M_{2,j}}{M_{2,j}-4\pi}$ and $M_{2,j}=\frac{4\pi M_{1,j}}{M_{1,j}-4\pi}$. Here we note that by standard potential theory it is easy to prove $M_{1,j},M_{2,j}>4\pi$. Now we write (3.24) as

e104
$$(M_{1,j}-8\pi)+(M_{2,j}-8\pi)=\frac{(M_{1,j}-8\pi)^2}{M_{1,j}-4\pi}=\frac{(M_{2,j}-8\pi)^2}{M_{2,j}-4\pi}. \tag{3.25}$$

Since $(u_{1,\varepsilon},u_{2,\varepsilon})$ is fully bubbling, we have

e105
$$\sum_{j=1}^k m^*_{1,j}=8k\pi \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^k m^*_{2,j}=8k\pi. \tag{3.26}$$

Combing (3.23), (3.25) and (3.26), we have

$$0\geq\sum_{i=1}^2\sum_{j=1}^k(M_{i,j}-m^*_{i,j})=\sum_{i=1}^2\sum_{j=1}^k(M_{i,j}-8\pi)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^2\sum_{j=1}^k\frac{(M_{i,j}-8\pi)^2}{M_{i,j}-4\pi}. \tag{3.27}$$

We conclude that $M_{i,j}=8\pi$. Furthermore, by (3.23) and (3.26) again, we obtain $m^*_{i,j}=8\pi$.

□

lem24 **Lemma 3.4.** *For $i=1,2$, $j=1,\cdots,k$, and any small $\theta'>0$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that*

e032
$$u_{i,\varepsilon}(x)-u_{i,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})\le -(4-\theta')\ln\left|1+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|\right|+C \tag{3.28}$$

for $x\in B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$.

Proof. By symmetry we only prove the case $i=1$. Using the Green's representation formula of $u_{1,\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega G(y,x)e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) \\ u_{2,\varepsilon}(x)-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{2,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega G(y,x)e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}(1-e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}) \end{cases} \tag{3.29}$$

Taking out the regular part of G we have

e033
$$\begin{aligned} &u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)-u_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) \\ =&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega (G(y,x)-G(y,x_{j,\varepsilon}))e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) \\ =&\frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})}\ln\frac{|y-x_{j,\varepsilon}|}{|y-x|}e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}})+O(1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Let $\tilde u_{i,\varepsilon}(z)=u_{i,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}z+x_{j,\varepsilon})$, $i=1,2$. then (3.30) becomes

e034
$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}(z)-\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}(0) \\ =&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta}(0)}\ln\frac{|y|}{|y-z|}e^{\tilde u_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,1}})+O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where $\tilde u_{0,1}$ is understood similarly. Let $\theta>0$ be a small constant. By (3.20), there exists $R>0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_R(0)}e^{\tilde u_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,1}})\ge 4-\theta. \tag{3.32}$$

Next, we split $B_{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta}(0)$ into

$$B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(0)\cup B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(z)\cup\left(B_{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta}(0)\setminus(B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(0)\cup B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(z))\right)$$

For $R\le|y|\le\frac{|z|}{2}$, we find $\frac{|y|}{|y-z|}\le 1$, and for $y\in B_{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta}(0)\setminus(B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(0)\cup B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(z))$, we find $|\ln\frac{|y|}{|y-z|}|\le C$ for some $C>0$. By these and $e^{\tilde u_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,1}})>0$, we obtain

e035
$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}(z)-\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}(0) \\ \le&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_R(0)}\ln\frac{|y|}{|y-z|}e^{\tilde u_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,1}}) \\ &+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(z)}\ln\frac{|y|}{|y-z|}e^{\tilde u_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde u_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde u_{0,1}})+O(1) \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Let $\sigma>0$ be a small constant, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(z)}\ln\frac{|y|}{|y-z|}e^{\tilde{u}_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde{u}_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,1}})\\ \le& C\int_{B_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(z)}\ln\frac{|y|}{|y-z|}+\ln\frac{3|z|}{\sigma}\int_{B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(z)\setminus B_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(z)}e^{\tilde{u}_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde{u}_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,1}})\\ =&o_\sigma(1)\ln|z|+\ln\frac{3|z|}{\sigma}\int_{B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(z)\setminus B_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(z)}e^{\tilde{u}_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde{u}_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,1}}),\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

where C is a constant. Furthermore, if $|z|>>R$, then

$$e^{\tilde{u}_{2,\varepsilon}(y)+\tilde{u}_{0,2}(y)-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}=O(\frac{1}{|z|^{4+o(1)}})\quad\text{for}\quad y\in B_{\frac{|z|}{2}}(z),$$

and

$$\ln|y-z|=\ln|z|+o_{\frac{1}{|z|}}(1)\quad\text{for}\quad y\in B_R(0).$$

By these, (3.31), (3.33) and (3.34), we conclude that

$$\tilde{u}_{1,\varepsilon}(z)-\tilde{u}_{1,\varepsilon}(0)\le-(4-\theta)\ln|z|+O(1).\tag{3.35}$$

□

By this lemma, we could refine the estimate of (3.28) as follows.

Lemma 3.5. *For $i=1,2$, and $j=1,\cdots,k$, it holds*

$$u_{i,\varepsilon}(x)-u_{i,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})=-\frac{m_{i,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln(1+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|)+O(1)\tag{3.36}$$

for $x\in B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$.

Proof. As in (3.30), we find

$$\begin{aligned}&u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)-u_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})\\ =&\frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})}\ln\frac{|y-x_{j,\varepsilon}|}{|y-x|}e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}})+O(1)\end{aligned}\tag{3.37}$$

Set $y-x_{j,\varepsilon}=z\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}$ and $\overline{x}_{j,\varepsilon}=\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x_{j,\varepsilon})$. Then (3.37) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)-u_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})\\ =&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta}(0)}\ln\frac{|z|}{|z-\overline{x}_{j,\varepsilon}|}e^{\tilde{u}_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde{u}_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,1}})+O(1)\\ =&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta}(0)}\ln\frac{1}{|z-\overline{x}_{j,\varepsilon}|}e^{\tilde{u}_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde{u}_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,1}})+O(1).\end{aligned}\tag{3.38}$$

where Lemma 3.4 is used. Since

$$e^{\tilde{u}_{2,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,2}-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}(1-e^{\tilde{u}_{1,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{0,1}})=O(1+|z|)^{\theta-4},\tag{3.39}$$

the last term in (3.36) is

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi}\log|\bar{x}_{j,\varepsilon}|+O(1),\quad \text{if}\quad |\bar{x}_{j,\varepsilon}|>1.$$

In fact one just considers subregions like $|z|<|\bar{x}_{j,\varepsilon}|/2$, $|z-\bar{x}_{j,\varepsilon}|\le|\bar{x}_{j,\varepsilon}|/2$, $|z|>2|\bar{x}_{j,\varepsilon}|$, etc. Because of the fast decaying rate in (3.39) the derivation of (3.36) is standard and is therefore omitted. □

With this lemma, we can further refine Lemma 3.1 as follows. In the next section(see Lemma 5.3), we will prove that $\mu_{1,\varepsilon},\cdots$, and $\mu_{k,\varepsilon}$ are comparable, so we still use $O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1})$ instead of $O(\sum_{j=1}^k\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1})$.

lem26 **Lemma 3.6.** *For $i=1,2$, we have*

(3.40)
$$u_{i,\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{i,\varepsilon}=\sum_{j=1}^k m_{i,j,\varepsilon}G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x)+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1+o(1)})\quad \text{in } C^1(\Omega\setminus\cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(x_{j,\varepsilon})).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, we know

e039 (3.41)
$$u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)=u_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\frac{m_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}}+O(1)\quad \text{on}\quad \partial B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1, we find

e040 (3.42)
$$u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon}+O(1)\quad \text{on}\quad \Omega\setminus\cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$$

Thus, from (3.41) and (3.42), we conclude that

(3.43)
$$u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)=u_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\frac{m_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}}+O(1)\quad \text{for}\quad x\in\Omega\setminus\cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(x_{j,\varepsilon}),$$

and similarly,

(3.44)
$$u_{2,\varepsilon}(x)=u_{2,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\frac{m_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln\frac{1}{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}}+O(1)\quad \text{for}\quad x\in\Omega\setminus\cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(x_{j,\varepsilon}).$$

As a result, for $x \in \Omega \setminus \cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$ we use (3.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_{1,\varepsilon}(x) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon} \\
=&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_\Omega G(y,x)e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}})dy \\
=&\sum_{j=1}^k\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} G(y,x)e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}) + O\left(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}\right)\right) \\
=&\sum_{j=1}^k\left(m_{1,j,\varepsilon}G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})}(G(y,x)-G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x))e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}})\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + O\left(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}\right)\right) \\
=&\sum_{j=1}^k\left(m_{1,j,\varepsilon}G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x) + O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})}|y-x_{j,\varepsilon}|e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}\right) + O\left(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}\right)\right) \\
=&\sum_{j=1}^k\left(m_{1,j,\varepsilon}G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x) + O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1+o(1)})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\nabla u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)$ satisfies:

$$\nabla u_{1,\varepsilon}(x) = -\sum_{j=1}^k\left(m_{1,j,\varepsilon}\nabla G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x) + O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1+o(1)})\right)$$

for $x \in \Omega \setminus \cup_{j=1}^k B_\theta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$.

□

4. SHARP ESTIMATE ($0 < \tau \le \frac{1}{2}$)

In this section, we will prove a sharper estimate than (3.8) in Proposition 3.1. Before we state the result of this section, we introduce the approximation solutions and their asymptotic behavior. For $j = 1, \cdots, k$, we use $(V_{1,j,\varepsilon}, V_{2,j,\varepsilon})$ to denote the global solutions of

e095

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta V_{1,j,\varepsilon} + e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{V_{2,j,\varepsilon}} = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\
\Delta V_{2,j,\varepsilon} + e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{V_{1,j,\varepsilon}} = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\
V_{1,j,\varepsilon}(0) = u_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) - \beta_{j,\varepsilon}, \quad V_{2,j,\varepsilon}(0) = u_{2,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) - \beta_{j,\varepsilon} \\
max_{x\in\mathbb{R}^2} V_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x) = V_{1,j,\varepsilon}(0), \\
\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{V_{2,j,\varepsilon}}, \quad \tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}e^{V_{1,j,\varepsilon}}.
\end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The system (4.1) is an irreducible Liouville system whose classification can be found in [12] and [37]. By the classification theorem for such Liouville systems, $(V_{1,j,\varepsilon}, V_{2,j,\varepsilon})$ is radially symmetric with respect to the origin.

For $|x|$ large, it is well-known that

e058 (4.2)
$$\begin{cases} V_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x) = -\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln|x| + I_{1,j,\varepsilon} + O(|x|^{2-\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}), \\ V_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x) = -\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln|x| + I_{2,j,\varepsilon} + O(|x|^{2-\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}), \end{cases}$$

where $\{I_{i,j,\varepsilon}\}$ is a sequence of constants tending to a finite constant $I_{i,j}$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$, and

e059 (4.3)
$$\begin{cases} V'_{1,j,\varepsilon}(|x|) = -\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{|x|} + O(|x|^{1-\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}), \\ V'_{2,j,\varepsilon}(|x|) = -\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{|x|} + O(|x|^{1-\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}). \end{cases}$$

Let (ϕ_1,ϕ_2) be solutions of the linearized system of (4.1).

(4.4)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi_1 + e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+V_{2,j,\varepsilon}}\phi_2 = 0 \\ \Delta\phi_2 + e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+V_{1,j,\varepsilon}}\phi_1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^2.$$

By Theorem 2.1 of [38], if $|\phi_i(x)| \le C(1+|x|)^\tau$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ for some $\tau \in (0,1)$ and $\phi_1(0) = \phi_2(0) = 0$, there exist c_1 and c_2 such that

e096 (4.5)
$$\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi_2\end{pmatrix} = c_1\begin{pmatrix}V'_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r)\frac{x_1}{r}\\ V'_{2,j,\varepsilon}(r)\frac{x_1}{r}\end{pmatrix} + c_2\begin{pmatrix}V'_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r)\frac{x_2}{r}\\ V'_{2,j,\varepsilon}(r)\frac{x_2}{r}\end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2;$$

If $|\phi_i(x)| \le C$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, there exist c_0, c_1 and c_2 such that

e097 (4.6)
$$\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi_2\end{pmatrix} = c_0\begin{pmatrix}rV'_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r)+2\\ rV'_{2,j,\varepsilon}(r)+2\end{pmatrix} + c_1\frac{x_1}{r}\begin{pmatrix}V'_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r)\\ V'_{2,j,\varepsilon}(r)\end{pmatrix} + c_2\frac{x_2}{r}\begin{pmatrix}V'_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r)\\ V'_{2,j,\varepsilon}(r)\end{pmatrix}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{1,j,\varepsilon}) = \beta_{j,\varepsilon}$. Denote the error term $(\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon},\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})$ as follows.

e060 (4.7)
$$\begin{cases} \eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x) = & u_{1,\varepsilon}(x) - \beta_{j,\varepsilon} - U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x) - M_{1,j,\varepsilon}(\gamma(x,x_{j,\varepsilon}) - \gamma(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{j,\varepsilon})) \\ & - \sum_{l\neq j,1\le l\le k} M_{1,l,\varepsilon}(G(x,x_{l,\varepsilon}) - G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon})) \\ \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x) = & u_{2,\varepsilon}(x) - \beta_{j,\varepsilon} - U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x) - M_{2,j,\varepsilon}(\gamma(x,x_{j,\varepsilon}) - \gamma(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{j,\varepsilon})) \\ & - \sum_{l\neq j,1\le l\le k} M_{2,l,\varepsilon}(G(x,x_{l,\varepsilon}) - G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon})) \end{cases}$$

where $(U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x), U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x)) = \Big(V_{1,j,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x^*_{j,\varepsilon})), V_{2,j,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x^*_{j,\varepsilon}))\Big)$ and the point $x^*_{j,\varepsilon}$ is chosen to satisfy

(4.8)
$$\begin{aligned} DU^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) =& Du_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) + Du_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) \\ &+ \sum_{l\neq j,,1\le l\le k} \big(16\pi DG(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon}) - M_{1,l,\varepsilon}DG(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon})\big). \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$|x_{j,\varepsilon} - x^*_{j,\varepsilon}| = O(\mu^{-2}_{j,\varepsilon}).$$

Thus, by this and (4.7), we obtain

$$\eta_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) = V_{i,j,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon} - x^*_{j,\varepsilon})) - V_{i,j,\varepsilon}(0) = O(\mu^{-2}_{j,\varepsilon}), \quad i = 1,2.$$

By the choice of $x^*_{j,\varepsilon}$ and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) &= Du_{1,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) - DU^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) - \sum_{l\neq j,,1\le l\le k} M_{1,l,\varepsilon} DG(x_{j,\varepsilon}, x_{l,\varepsilon}) \\ &= -\left(Du_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) + Du_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) + \sum_{l\neq j,,1\le l\le k} 16\pi DG(x_{j,\varepsilon}, x_{l,\varepsilon}) \right) \\ &= O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1,2,\ j = 1,\cdots,k$, we set

fij
$$\begin{aligned} f_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x) =& u_{0,i}(x) - u_{0,i}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) + M_{i,j,\varepsilon}(\gamma(x, x_{j,\varepsilon}) - \gamma(x_{j,\varepsilon}, x_{j,\varepsilon})) \\ &+ \sum_{l\neq j, 1\le l\le k} M_{i,l,\varepsilon}(G(x, x_{l,\varepsilon}) - G(x_{j,\varepsilon}, x_{l,\varepsilon})) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and

fije
$$H_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x,t) = e^{f_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x)+t} - 1. \tag{4.10}$$

For any function $\xi(x)$, we define

$$\tilde{\xi}(x) = \xi(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1} x + x_{j,\varepsilon}).$$

Thus, $(\tilde{\eta}_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x), \tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x))$ satisfies

e008
$$\begin{cases} \Delta\tilde{\eta}_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x) + e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\tilde{U}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon} = g_{1,j,\varepsilon} \\ \Delta\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x) + e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\tilde{U}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}\tilde{\eta}_{1,j,\varepsilon} = g_{2,j,\varepsilon} \\ \tilde{\eta}_{i,j,\varepsilon}(0) = O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}),\ i = 1,2,\ D\tilde{\eta}_{1,j,\varepsilon}(0) = O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}). \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

where $g_{1,j,\varepsilon}$ and $g_{2,j,\varepsilon}$ are

e110
$$\begin{cases} g_{1,j,\varepsilon} = e^{-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^2(\tilde{u}_{i,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{i,0})} + e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}(e^{\tilde{U}_{2,j,\varepsilon}} - e^{\tilde{U}^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}})\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon} \\ \qquad -e^{\tilde{U}^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}(\tilde{H}_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}) \\ g_{2,j,\varepsilon} = e^{-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^2(\tilde{u}_{i,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{i,0})} + e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}(e^{\tilde{U}_{1,j,\varepsilon}} - e^{\tilde{U}^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}})\tilde{\eta}_{1,j,\varepsilon} \\ \qquad -e^{\tilde{U}^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}(\tilde{H}_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x,\tilde{\eta}_{1,j,\varepsilon}) - \tilde{\eta}_{1,j,\varepsilon}) \end{cases}, \tag{4.12}$$

and $(\tilde{U}_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x), \tilde{U}_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x)) = (V_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x), V_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x))$.

By Proposition 3.1, we find that

e005
$$e^{-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^2(\tilde{u}_{i,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{i,0})} = O\left(\frac{e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}}{(1+|x|)^{8+o(1)}}\right) \text{ for } |x| \le \mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta \tag{4.13}$$

For $\tau > 0$ and $\tau' > 0$, we set

$$N_\varepsilon = \sup_{|x|\le\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta} \max\left\{ \frac{|\tilde{\eta}_{1,j,\varepsilon}|}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+|x|)^\tau}, \frac{|\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}|}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+|x|)^\tau} \right\},$$

where $\alpha_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{2\tau} e^{-\tau\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} + e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} = \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau} + e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}$.

The main goal in this section is to show that N_ε is bounded for $0 < \tau \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < \tau' < 1$, and in the next section we will improve the boundedness of N_ε for $0 < \tau < 1$ and $0 < \tau' < 1$.

pro31 **Proposition 4.1.**

e004 (4.14) $$N_\varepsilon \le C$$

for some constant C, $0<\tau\le\frac{1}{2}$ *and* $0<\tau'<1$.

We will prove (4.14) by contradiction. Thus, we suppose that

$$N_\varepsilon \to +\infty.$$

Set

$$N_\varepsilon^* = \sup_{|x|\le\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta}\sup_{|x|=|x'|}\max\left\{\frac{|\tilde\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x)-\tilde\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x')|}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+|x|)^\tau},\frac{|\tilde\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x)-\tilde\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x')|}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+|x|)^\tau}\right\},$$

For simplicity, we set $x_{j,\varepsilon}=x_\varepsilon$. In the following lemma, we show that the radial part is a dominant term.

le32 **Lemma 4.1.** *It holds*

$$N_\varepsilon^* = o(N_\varepsilon).$$

Proof. Suppose it is not true, then there exists $c_0>0$ such that $N_\varepsilon^*\ge c_0 N_\varepsilon$. We may assume there exist x_ε' and x_ε'' with $|x_\varepsilon'|=|x_\varepsilon''|$ such that

$$N_\varepsilon^* = \frac{|\tilde\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon')-\tilde\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon'')|}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+|x_\varepsilon'|)^\tau}.$$

We also assume that x_ε' and x_ε'' are symmetric with respect to x_1-axis. Set

$$\omega_{i,j,\varepsilon}^*(x)=\tilde\eta_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x)-\tilde\eta_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x^-),\ x^-=(x_1,-x_2),\ x_2>0,$$

and

$$\omega_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{\omega_{i,j,\varepsilon}^*(x)}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+x_2)^\tau},\ i=1,2.$$

Let x_ε^{**} satisfy

$$\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**})=N_\varepsilon^{**}=\sup_{|x|\le\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta,x_2>0}\max\left\{\frac{|\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}^*(x)|}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+x_2)^\tau},\frac{|\omega_{2,j,\varepsilon}^*(x)|}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+x_2)^\tau}\right\}$$

It is clear that $N_\varepsilon^{**}\ge N_\varepsilon^*$. We divide the proof of this lemma into the following steps.

Step 1. We claim $|x_\varepsilon^{**}|\le\frac{1}{2}\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta$.
We will prove this claim by contradiction. By Lemma 3.6, we find that

$$\begin{cases} v_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x):=u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon}-\sum_{l=1}^k m_{1,l,\varepsilon}G(x,x_{l,\varepsilon})=O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1+o(1)})\\ v_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x):=u_{2,\varepsilon}(x)-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{2,\varepsilon}-\sum_{l=1}^k m_{2,l,\varepsilon}G(x,x_{l,\varepsilon})=O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1+o(1)})\end{cases}$$

in $C^1(\Omega\setminus\cup_{l=1}^k B_\delta(x_{l,\varepsilon}))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}|\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}^*(x_\varepsilon^{**})|=&|\tilde\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**})-\tilde\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**^-})|\\ \le&|v_{1,j,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x_\varepsilon^{**}+x_\varepsilon)-v_{1,j,\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x_\varepsilon^{**^-}+x_\varepsilon)|+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)})\\ &+|U_{1,j,\varepsilon}^*(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x_\varepsilon^{**}+x_\varepsilon)-U_{1,\varepsilon}^*(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}x_\varepsilon^{**^-}+x_\varepsilon)|\\ \le&C\left(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1+o(1)}+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}|x_{\varepsilon,2}^{**}|\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since we assume $\frac{1}{2}\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta < |x_\varepsilon^{**}| \le \mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta$ and $0 < \tau \le \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_\varepsilon^{**} \le & \frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}|x_{\varepsilon,2}^{**}|^{1-\tau}}{\alpha_\varepsilon} + \frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1+o(1)}|x_{\varepsilon,2}^{**}|^{-\tau}}{\alpha_\varepsilon} \\ \le & C\left(\frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{1-\tau}}{\alpha_\varepsilon} + \frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1+o(1)}\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-\tau}}{\alpha_\varepsilon}\right) = o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

which is a contradiction to what we assumed of N_ε^{**}.

Step 2. We claim that $|x_\varepsilon^{**}|$ is bounded.

We prove this claim by contradiction. After calculation, we have

e007 (4.16)

$$\begin{aligned} & |g_{1,j,\varepsilon}| \\ \le & C\left(\frac{e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}}{(1+|x|)^{8+o(1)}} + \frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x)| + |\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x)|^2 + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})||x| + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}|x|^2}{(1+|x|)^{4+o(1)}}\right) \\ \le & C\left(\frac{e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}}{(1+|x|)^{8+o(1)}} + \frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon}{(1+|x|)^{4-\tau+o(1)}} + \frac{(\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon)^2}{(1+|x|)^{4-2\tau+o(1)}} + \frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})||x| + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}|x|^2}{(1+|x|)^{4+o(1)}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ is defined in (4.9). Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon} + 2\tau\frac{1}{1+x_2}\frac{\partial\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial x_2} - \left(\frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{(1+x_2)^2}\right)\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon} + e^{u_{0,2}(x_\varepsilon)+\tilde{U}_{2,j,\varepsilon}^*}\omega_{2,j,\varepsilon} \\ = & \frac{g_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x^-) - g_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x)}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+x_2)^\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $D\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**}) = 0$ and $\Delta\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**}) \le 0$. If $|x_\varepsilon^{**}| \ge R$ for some large $R > 0$, then

$$\frac{\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**})}{(1+x_{\varepsilon,2}^{**})^2} \le C\left(\frac{g_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**}) - g_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**^-})}{\alpha_\varepsilon(1+x_{\varepsilon,2}^{**})^\tau} + \frac{|\omega_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**})|}{(1+|x_\varepsilon^{**}|)^{4+o(1)}}\right).$$

By this and (4.16), we obtain

re1 (4.17)

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_\varepsilon\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon^{**}) \\ \le & C(1+|x_\varepsilon^{**}|)^{2-\tau}\left(\frac{e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}}{(1+|x_\varepsilon^{**}|)^{8+o(1)}} + \frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon}{(1+|x_\varepsilon^{**}|)^{4-\tau+o(1)}} + \frac{(\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon)^2}{(1+|x_\varepsilon^{**}|)^{4-2\tau+o(1)}}\right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})||x_\varepsilon^{**}| + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}|x_\varepsilon^{**}|^2}{(1+|x_\varepsilon^{**}|)^{4+o(1)}} + \frac{\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon}{(1+|x_\varepsilon^{**}|)^{4-\tau+o(1)}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon = o(1)$. We deduce from (4.17) that

e112 (4.18)
$$N_\varepsilon^{**} \le \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon)| + e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}) = O(1)$$

provided $0<\tau\le\frac{1}{2}$ and $|x_\varepsilon^{**}|$ is large. It is a contradiction to $N_\varepsilon^*\ge c_0N_\varepsilon\to\infty$.

Step 3. Let $\omega_{i,j,\varepsilon}^{**}=\frac{\omega_{i,\varepsilon}}{N_\varepsilon^{**}}$, $i=1,2$. Then $\frac{g_{i,\varepsilon}}{\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon^{**}(1+x_2)^\tau}\to 0$, $i=1,2$. So, $((1+x_2)^\tau\omega_{1,j,\varepsilon}^{**},(1+x_2)^\tau\omega_{2,j,\varepsilon}^{**})\to(\omega_1,\omega_2)\neq(0,0)$ in any compact set of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and (ω_1,ω_2) satisfies

re2 (4.19)
$$\begin{cases}\Delta\omega_1+e^{u_{0,2}(q)+U_2}\omega_2=0\\ \Delta\omega_2+e^{u_{0,1}(q)+U_1}\omega_1=0\\ \omega_1(x_1,0)=\omega_2(x_1,0)=0.\end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we deduced from $D\tilde\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}(0)=O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2})$ that $D\omega_1(0)=0$. Together with (4.19), we obtain $\omega_1=\omega_2\equiv 0$. This is a contradiction. We conclude that

$$N_\varepsilon^*=o(N_\varepsilon).$$

□

(Proof of Proposition 4.1) Denote

$$\varphi_{i,j,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\tilde\eta_{i,j,\varepsilon}(r,\theta)d\theta,\ r=|x-x_\varepsilon|,\ i=1,2.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we conclude that

e098 (4.20)
$$\sup_{r\le\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}\delta}\max\left\{\frac{\varphi_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{(1+r)^\tau},\frac{\varphi_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{(1+r)^\tau}\right\}=\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon(1+o(1)).$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\frac{\varphi_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{(1+r)^\tau}$ attains the maximum of

$$\sup_{r\le\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}\delta}\max\left\{\frac{\varphi_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{(1+r)^\tau},\frac{\varphi_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{(1+r)^\tau}\right\}$$

at r_ε. Suppose r_ε is bounded. By integrating (4.11), we obtain

e099 (4.21)
$$\begin{cases}\Delta\varphi_{1,j,\varepsilon}+e^{u_{0,2}(x_\varepsilon)+V_{2,j,\varepsilon}}\varphi_{2,j,\varepsilon}=h_{1,j,\varepsilon},\\ \Delta\varphi_{2,j,\varepsilon}+e^{u_{0,1}(x_\varepsilon)+V_{1,j,\varepsilon}}\varphi_{1,j,\varepsilon}=h_{2,j,\varepsilon},\end{cases}$$

where $h_{i,j,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}g_{i,j,\varepsilon}(r,\theta)d\theta$, $r=|x-x_\varepsilon|$. Set

$$\varphi_{i,j,\varepsilon}^*(x)=\frac{\varphi_{i,j,\varepsilon}(|x|)}{\varphi_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon)},\quad i=1,2.$$

By (4.20), we have

$$|\varphi_{i,j,\varepsilon}^*(x)|\le\frac{C|\varphi_{i,j,\varepsilon}|}{\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon}\le C(1+|x|)^\tau,$$

and by (4.16)

re4 (4.22)
$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|h_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r)|}{|\varphi_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon)|} \le & \frac{C|h_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r)|}{\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon}\\ \le & C\left(\frac{1}{N_\varepsilon}+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}+\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon+\frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon)|+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}}{\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon = o(1)$. Thus, $(\varphi^*_{1,j,\varepsilon},\varphi^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}) \to (\varphi_1,\varphi_2) \neq (0,0)$ in any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and (φ_1,φ_2) satisfies

(4.23)
$$\begin{cases}\Delta\varphi_1 + e^{u_{0,2}(q_j)+V_{2,j}}\varphi_2 = 0,\\ \Delta\varphi_2 + e^{u_{0,2}(q_j)+V_{1,j}}\varphi_1 = 0.\end{cases}$$

But $\varphi^*_{i,j,\varepsilon}(0) = O(\frac{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}}{\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon}) = o(1)$, $i=1,2$, and thus $\varphi_1(0)=\varphi_2(0)=0$, which implies $(\varphi_1,\varphi_2)\equiv(0,0)$, a contradiction to the fact that at least one of $\varphi^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon)$ and $\varphi^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon)$ is 1.

Next, assume that $r_\varepsilon \to \infty$. After calculation, we obtain

$$r\varphi'_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r) \le C\left(\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon + e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon)| + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln(1+r)\right)$$

for $r>1$. Let r_0 be a large constant. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon(1+r_\varepsilon)^\tau = |\varphi_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon)| \le & \int_{r_0}^{r_\varepsilon}|\varphi'_{1,j,\varepsilon}(r)|dr\\ \le & C\left((\alpha_\varepsilon N_\varepsilon + e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon)|)\ln r_\varepsilon + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}(\ln r_\varepsilon)^2\right),\end{aligned}$$

from which, we deduce that

e113 (4.24)
$$N_\varepsilon \le C\left(\frac{e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_\varepsilon)| + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}}{\alpha_\varepsilon}\right) = O(1)$$

Again, it is a contradiction. We conclude that N_ε is bounded.

5. Sharp estimate($0<\tau\le 1$) and Necessary condition

By Proposition 4.1, we have

pro41 **Proposition 5.1.** *For $\tau\in(0,\frac{1}{2}]$ and $\tau'\in(0,1)$, it holds*

$$|\eta_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x)| \le C(1+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|)^\tau(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}),\ x\in B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon}),\ i=1,2,\ j=1,\cdots,k.$$

With this proposition and symmetry, we are led to a refined estimate for the radial part of $g_{i,j,\varepsilon}$, $h_{i,j,\varepsilon}$. Note that

e014 (5.1)
$$H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}) - \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon} = H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,0) + H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,0)\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon} + O(|\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}|^2)$$

and

e015 (5.2)
$$\begin{aligned}H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,0) =& Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})\cdot(x-x_{j,\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1,2}D^2f_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x-x_{j,\varepsilon})_a(x-x_{j,\varepsilon})_b\\&+|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^2+O(|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^3)\end{aligned}$$

By (3.25), (3.26) and symmetry, we have

e111 (5.3)
$$\begin{aligned}h_{1,j,\varepsilon} =&\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}g_{1,j,\varepsilon}\\=&-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}e^{\tilde{U}^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}(\tilde{H}_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon})-\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon})d\theta\\&+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\left(e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}(e^{\tilde{U}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}-e^{\tilde{U}^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}})\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}+e^{-\beta_\varepsilon}e^{\sum_{i=1}^2(\tilde{u}_{i,\varepsilon}+\tilde{u}_{i,0})}\right)d\theta\\=&-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}e^{\tilde{U}_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}\left(|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}r^2+|\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}|^2\right)d\theta\\&+O\left(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}|x_{j,\varepsilon}-x^*_{j,\varepsilon}|\int_0^{2\pi}e^{\tilde{U}_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}|\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}|d\theta\right)\\&+O\left(\frac{e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}}{(1+r)^{8+o(1)}}\right)\\=&O\left(\frac{(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})}{(1+r)^{2+o(1)}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $r=|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|$. Then, using the same argument of Lin-Zhang(page 2608 of [38]), we have an estimate for the radial part of $\tilde{\eta}_{i,j,\varepsilon}$.

pro42 **Proposition 5.2.** *It holds*

e100 (5.4)
$$|\varphi_{i,j,\varepsilon}(r)|\le C(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})(1+r)^{\delta_1},\qquad r\le\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta$$

for some small $\delta_1>0$ and $i=1,2,\ j=1,\cdots,k$.

By Proposition 5.1 and Proposition 5.2, we have an estimate for the convergence rate of $\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ to 8π.

lem42 **Lemma 5.1.** *For $i=1,2$, and $j=1,\cdots,k$, we have*

e053 (5.5)
$$\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}=O\left(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\sum_{i=1,2}|Df_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}\right).$$

and

e076 (5.6)
$$\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi=O\left(|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Proof. For convenience, we only prove for the case of $i=1$.
By Proposition 5.1, we find

e061 (5.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}-m_{1,j,\varepsilon}\\
=&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}\big(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}\big)\\
=&-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{\sum_{i=1}^2(u_{i,\varepsilon}+u_{0,i})}\\
=&-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)})+O(e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}).
\end{aligned}$$

As in (5.3), we obtain

e062 (5.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})\\
=&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}(e^{U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}-U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}-1)H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})\\
=&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}+O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}|^2\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^2\\
&+O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^4\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}(e^{U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}-U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}-1)H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.2, we have

(5.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}\\
=&\frac{e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}}{2\pi}\int_0^{\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta} re^{V_{2,j,\varepsilon}(r)}\int_0^{2\pi}\tilde{\eta}_{2,j,\varepsilon}\,d\theta dr\\
=&O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.1, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}|^2 = O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})^2. \tag{5.10}$$

After a direct calculation, we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^2\\ =&O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2)\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^4 = O(\delta^{2+o(1)}\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}). \tag{5.12}$$

Combining (5.7)-(5.12), we conclude that

$$\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}-m_{1,j,\varepsilon} = O(|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon})+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2+o(1)}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}). \tag{5.13}$$

Recall that $(\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon},\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon})$ satisfies

$$\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}+\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon} = \frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{4\pi}, \tag{5.14}$$

and $\sum_{j=1}^k M_{i,j,\varepsilon} = 8k\pi$, $i=1,2$. By these and (3.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}(\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-M_{i,j,\varepsilon}) = \sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}(\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi)\\ =&\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}\frac{(\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi)^2}{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-4\pi}\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

We thus conclude that

$$\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon} = 8\pi+O(|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Applying this to the equations (5.7)-(5.12), the term $o(1)$ in the exponent of $\mu_{j,\varepsilon}$ can be removed. We thus obtain (5.5).

□

By Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 and Lemma 5.1, we can improve Lemma 3.6 as follows.

Lemma 5.2. *For $i=1,2$, it holds*

$$u_{i,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{i,\varepsilon}+\sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x)+O(|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|) \tag{5.16}$$

in $C^1(\Omega\setminus\cup_{j=1}^k B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon}))$.

In the next lemma, we show that the heights of the bubbles are comparable.

lemma53 **Lemma 5.3.** *It holds*

e078 (5.17)

$$-\beta_{j,\varepsilon} = 8\pi\gamma(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{j,\varepsilon}) + 8\pi\sum_{l\neq j} G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon}) - I_{1,j,\varepsilon} - 4\ln\varepsilon + \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon} + o(1)$$
$$= 8\pi\gamma(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{j,\varepsilon}) + 8\pi\sum_{l\neq j} G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon}) - I_{2,j,\varepsilon} - 4\ln\varepsilon + \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{2,\varepsilon} + o(1)$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5.1 that

e079 (5.18)

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{1,\varepsilon}(x)\\ =&\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}(\gamma(x,x_{j,\varepsilon}) - \gamma(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{j,\varepsilon})) + \sum_{l\neq j}\tilde{M}_{1,l,\varepsilon}(G(x,x_{l,\varepsilon}) - G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon}))\\ &+\beta_{j,\varepsilon} + \frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\delta + I_{1,j,\varepsilon} + O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau} + e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}) + O(|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon} - m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|), \end{aligned}$$

for $x\in\partial B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$. By this and Lemma 5.2, we find

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{4\pi})\beta_{j,\varepsilon} = \tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}\gamma(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{j,\varepsilon}) + \sum_{l\neq j}\tilde{M}_{1,l,\varepsilon}G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon}) - \frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln\varepsilon + \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon}\\ + O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau} + e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}) + O(|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon} - m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|).\end{aligned}$$

So, (5.17) follows from Lemma 5.1.

□

rem51 **Remark 5.1.** *In view of* (5.18)*, we know that*

re6 (5.19) $$I_{1,j,\varepsilon} - I_{2,j,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega (u_{1,\varepsilon} - u_{2,\varepsilon}) + o(1), \quad j = 1,\cdots,k.$$

Furthermore, by the classification of $(V_{1,j,\varepsilon},V_{2,j,\varepsilon})$ *and Lemma 5.1, we obtain*

re7 (5.20) $$u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) - u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon}) = I_{2,j,\varepsilon} - I_{1,j,\varepsilon} + o(1), \quad j = 1,\cdots,k.$$

Thus, by (5.19) *and* (5.20)*, (1) of Theorem 1.2 is proved.*

With this lemma, we can obtain a estimate for $\eta_{i,j,\varepsilon}$ in $\Omega\setminus\cup_{j=1}^k B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$.

Proposition 5.3. *For* $x\in\Omega\setminus\cup_{j=1}^k B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})$*, it holds*

(5.21) $$|\eta_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x)| = O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau} + e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}} + |\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon} - m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|),\ i = 1,2.$$

Proof. By (5.18)

(5.22)

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{i,j,\varepsilon} =& u_{i,\varepsilon}(x)-\beta_{j,\varepsilon}-\sum_{l=1}^{k}\tilde{M}_{i,l,\varepsilon}G(x,x_{l,\varepsilon})-\frac{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}-I_{i,j,\varepsilon}+\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}\gamma(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{j,\varepsilon})\\ &+\sum_{l\neq j}\tilde{M}_{i,l,\varepsilon}G(x_{j,\varepsilon},x_{l,\varepsilon})+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})+O(|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|)\\ =&u_{i,\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega}u_{i,\varepsilon}-\sum_{l=1}^{k}\tilde{M}_{i,l,\varepsilon}G(x,x_{l,\varepsilon})+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})+O(|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|)\\ =&O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}+|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 5.2.

□

lem47 **Lemma 5.4.** *It holds*

$$Df_{1,j}(q_j)=Df_{2,j}(q_j)=0,\quad j=1,\cdots,k.$$

Proof. Since $(u_{1,\varepsilon},u_{2,\varepsilon})$ is doubly periodic on $\partial\Omega$, by integrating (1.14), we have

e009 (5.23)
$$\begin{cases}8k\pi=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega}e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}})=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j}e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}(1-e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}),\\ 8k\pi=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega}e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}(1-e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}})=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j}e^{u_{1,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}}(1-e^{u_{2,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}}).\end{cases}$$

Recall that

e010 (5.24)
$$\begin{cases}\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j}e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}},\\ \tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j}e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}}.\end{cases}$$

By (4.7), we have

e063 (5.25)
$$\begin{cases}\Delta\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}=\Delta u_{1,\varepsilon}-\Delta U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}-\sum_{l=1}^{k}M_{1,l,\varepsilon}\\ \Delta\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}=\Delta u_{2,\varepsilon}-\Delta U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}-\sum_{l=1}^{k}M_{2,l,\varepsilon}\end{cases}\qquad x\in\Omega_j.$$

By integrating (5.25) over Ω_j, we have

$$\begin{cases}\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\frac{\partial\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}=\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}-M_{1,j,\varepsilon},\\ \int_{\partial\Omega_j}\frac{\partial\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}=\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}}-M_{2,j,\varepsilon},\end{cases}$$

and

e022 (5.26)
$$\begin{cases}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\frac{\partial\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}-M_{1,j,\varepsilon}),\\ \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\frac{\partial\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}-M_{2,j,\varepsilon}).\end{cases}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^*_{1,j}&=\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x^*_{j,\varepsilon})V_{1,j,\varepsilon}{}'(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x^*_{j,\varepsilon}))+2,\\ \psi^*_{2,j}&=\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x^*_{j,\varepsilon})V_{2,j,\varepsilon}{}'(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x^*_{j,\varepsilon}))+2,\end{aligned}$$

then direct computation shows

e011 (5.27)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta\psi^*_{1,j} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}} \psi^*_{2,j} = 0 \\ \Delta\psi^*_{2,j} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}} \psi^*_{1,j} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{1,j} &= \mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x_{j,\varepsilon}) V_{1,j,\varepsilon}{}'(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x_{j,\varepsilon})) + 2, \\ \psi_{2,j} &= \mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x_{j,\varepsilon}) V_{2,j,\varepsilon}{}'(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}(x-x_{j,\varepsilon})) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

By Green's identity and (5.27), we obtain

e012 (5.28)
$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\partial\Omega_j} \psi^*_{2,j} \frac{\partial \eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} + \psi^*_{1,j} \frac{\partial \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu} - \eta_{1,j,\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \psi^*_{2,j}}{\partial \nu} - \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \psi^*_{1,j}}{\partial \nu} \\ =& \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\Omega_j} \psi^*_{2,j} \Delta\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon} - \eta_{1,j,\varepsilon} \Delta\psi^*_{2,j} + \psi^*_{1,j} \Delta\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon} - \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon} \Delta\psi^*_{1,j} \\ =& \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\Omega_j} \Big(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_\varepsilon+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}} (H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}) - \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}) \psi^*_{2,j} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}} (H_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}) - \eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}) \psi^*_{1,j} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} e^{\Sigma_{i=1}^2 (u_{i,\varepsilon}+u_{0,i})} (\psi^*_{1,j} + \psi^*_{2,j}) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the right hand side of (5.28). As in (5.8), we have

e013 (5.29)
$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_\varepsilon+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}} H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,0) \psi^*_{2,j} \\ =& \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_\varepsilon+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}} H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,0) \psi_{2,j} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_\varepsilon+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}} (e^{U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}-U_{2,j,\varepsilon}} - 1) H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,0) (\psi^*_{2,j} - \psi_{2,j}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_\varepsilon+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}} H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,0) (\psi^*_{2,j} - \psi_{2,j}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_\varepsilon+U_{2,j,\varepsilon}} (e^{U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}-U_{2,j,\varepsilon}} - 1) H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,0) \psi_{2,j} \\ =& -C_{2,j,\varepsilon} |D f_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2 \mu^{-2}_{j,\varepsilon} \ln \mu_{j,\varepsilon} + O(\mu^{-2}_{j,\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant $C_{2,j,\varepsilon}$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} C_{2,j,\varepsilon} > 0$.

By (5.1) and Proposition 5.1, we obtain

e016 (5.30)
$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}} (H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}) - \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}) \psi^*_{2,j,\varepsilon} \\ =& -C_{2,j,\varepsilon} |D f_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2 \mu^{-2}_{j,\varepsilon} \ln \mu_{j,\varepsilon} + O(\mu^{-2}_{j,\varepsilon}) + O((\mu^{-2\tau} + e^{\tau' \beta_{j,\varepsilon}})^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

e017 (5.31)
$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_\delta(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_\varepsilon+U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}}(H_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon})-\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon})\psi_{1,j,\varepsilon}\\ =&-C_{1,j,\varepsilon}|Df_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2})+O((\mu^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})^2),\end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}C_{1,j,\varepsilon}>0$. As the calculation of (5.29), we have

e018 (5.32)
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j} e^{\Sigma_{i=1}^2(u_{i,\varepsilon}+u_{0,i})}(\psi^*_{1,j}+\psi^*_{2,j})=B_{j,\varepsilon}e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}$$

for some positive constant $B_{j,\varepsilon}$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}B_{j,\varepsilon}>0$.. Thus, we conclude that

e019 (5.33)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^k\int_{\Omega_j}\psi^*_{2,j}\Delta\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}-\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}\Delta\psi^*_{2,j}+\psi^*_{1,j}\Delta\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}-\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}\Delta\psi^*_{1,j}\\ =&\sum_{j=1}^k\left(B_{j,\varepsilon}e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}+C_{1,j,\varepsilon}|Df_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}+C_{2,j,\varepsilon}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\right)\\ &+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2})+O((\mu^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})^2)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (5.26) and Lemma 5.1,

e020 (5.34)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^k\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\psi^*_{2,j}\frac{\partial\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}+\psi^*_{1,j}\frac{\partial\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}-\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}\frac{\partial\psi^*_{2,j}}{\partial\nu}-\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}\frac{\partial\psi^*_{1,j}}{\partial\nu}\\ =&\sum_{j=1}^k\left((-\frac{\tilde M_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}+2)\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\frac{\partial\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}+(-\frac{\tilde M_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}+2)\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\frac{\partial\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}\right)+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_\varepsilon}))\\ =&-\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}\frac{(\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi)^2}{\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-4\pi}+O(|\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi||\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|)+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_\varepsilon}))\\ =&-\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}\frac{(\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi)^2}{\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-4\pi}+O(|\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|^{3/2})+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau'\beta_\varepsilon})).\end{aligned}$$

Combing (5.33) and (5.34), we obtain

e025 (5.35)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^k(B_{j,\varepsilon}e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}+\sum_{i=1,2}C_{i,j,\varepsilon}|Df_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon})+\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}\frac{(\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi)^2}{\tilde M_{i,j,\varepsilon}-4\pi}\\ =&o(e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $B_{j,\varepsilon}$, $C_{1,j,\varepsilon}$ and $C_{2,j,\varepsilon}$ are positive, we obtain

(5.36)
$$|Df_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|^2=o(1)\text{ and }|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})|=o(1).$$

It follows that

$$Df_{1,j}(q_j)=Df_{2,j}(q_j)=0,\ j=1,\cdots,k.$$

□

Remark 5.2. *By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.4, we obtain, for $i = 1,2$, $j = 1,\cdots,k$,*

e080 (5.37) $$|Df_{i,j,\varepsilon}(x_{j,\varepsilon})| = O(\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k} |8\pi - M_{i,j,\varepsilon}|) + O(|q_j - x_{j,\varepsilon}|) = O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-1} + e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}).$$

Furthermore, applying (5.37) *to* (4.18), (4.22) *and* (4.24), *we can refine Proposition 4.1 and show that*

e081 (5.38) $$N_\varepsilon \le C \quad \text{for } 0 < \tau < 1 \quad 0 < \tau' < 1.$$

For convenience, we set $\tau' = \tau$.

With (5.37) and (5.38), we can refine the estimate on (5.28) and obtain the following estimate.

final-lem **Lemma 5.5.** *It holds*

$$\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}) \le 0$$

Proof. Let $\theta_{j,\varepsilon}$ be a small parameter. By (5.37) and (5.38), similar to (5.29) and (5.30), we find

e083 (5.39)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}(H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}) - \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})\psi^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}\\
=&O\Big(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_j,\varepsilon)|^2\int_{B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^2\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^4\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}|Df_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x_j,\varepsilon)|\int_{B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}||\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}|\\
&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}|\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}|^2\Big)\\
=&O\Big((\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\theta_{j,\varepsilon} + \theta_{j,\varepsilon}^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-4\tau} + e^{2\tau\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

By this and (4.2), we find

e085 (5.40)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}(H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}) - \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})\psi^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}\\
=&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j\setminus B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})} e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}}(H_{2,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}) - \eta_{2,j,\varepsilon})\psi^*_{2,j,\varepsilon}\\
&+O\Big((\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\theta_{j,\varepsilon} + \theta_{j,\varepsilon}^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-4\tau} + e^{2\tau\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}\Big)\\
=&(-\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}+2)\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{2-\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}\int_{\Omega_j\setminus B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}\frac{(e^{f_{2,j,\varepsilon}}-1)e^{I_{2,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}}{|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^{\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}}\\
&+O\Big((\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\theta_{j,\varepsilon} + \theta_{j,\varepsilon}^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2} + \mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-4\tau} + e^{2\tau\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

e086 (5.41)
$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_j} e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+\beta_{j,\varepsilon}+U^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}}(H_{1,j,\varepsilon}(x,\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon})-\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon})\psi^*_{1,j,\varepsilon}\\ =&(-\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}+2)\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{2-\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}\int_{\Omega_j\setminus B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}\frac{(e^{f_{1,j,\varepsilon}}-1)e^{I_{1,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}}{|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^{\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}}\\ &+O\Big((\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\theta_{j,\varepsilon}+\theta_{j,\varepsilon}^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-4\tau}+e^{2\tau\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

e087 (5.42)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^k\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\psi^*_{2,j}\frac{\partial\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}+\psi^*_{1,j}\frac{\partial\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}-\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}\frac{\partial\psi^*_{2,j}}{\partial\nu}-\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}\frac{\partial\psi^*_{1,j}}{\partial\nu}\\ =&\sum_{j=1}^k\left((-\frac{M_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}+2)\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\frac{\partial\eta_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}+(-\frac{M_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}+2)\int_{\partial\Omega_j}\frac{\partial\eta_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{\partial\nu}\right)+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau\beta_\varepsilon}))\\ =&\sum_{j=1}^k\left((\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}-2)\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}+2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}\frac{e^{u_{0,1}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+I_{1,j,\varepsilon}}}{|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^{\frac{\tilde{M}_{1,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}}\right.\\ &\left.+(\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}-2)\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}+2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}\frac{e^{u_{0,2}(x_{j,\varepsilon})+I_{2,j,\varepsilon}}}{|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^{\frac{\tilde{M}_{2,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}}\right)-\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}\frac{(\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi)^2}{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-4\pi}\\ &+O(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}(\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2\tau}+e^{\tau\beta_\varepsilon}))+O(|\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-m_{i,j,\varepsilon}|^{3/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.40), (5.41) and (5.42), we have

e088 (5.43)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^2\sum_{j=1}^k\rho_{i,j,\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}-2\right)\left(\int_{\Omega_j\setminus B_{\theta_{j,\varepsilon}}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}\frac{(e^{f_{i,j,\varepsilon}}-1)}{|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^{\frac{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Omega_j}\frac{1}{|x-x_{j,\varepsilon}|^{\frac{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}}\right)\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^k B_{j,\varepsilon}e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}+\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}\frac{(\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi)^2}{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-4\pi}\\ =&O\Big((\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+e^{\beta_{j,\varepsilon}})\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}\ln\mu_{j,\varepsilon}\theta_{j,\varepsilon}+\theta_{j,\varepsilon}^2\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-2}+\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{-4\tau}+e^{2\tau\beta_{j,\varepsilon}}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{i,j,\varepsilon}=\mu_{j,\varepsilon}^{2-\frac{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}}{2\pi}}e^{I_{i,j,\varepsilon}+u_{0,i}(x_{j,\varepsilon})}$. By Remark 5.1, we can rewrite (5.43) as

$$\Big(\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q})+o(1)\Big)e^{u_{0,1}(q_j)}e^{-\ln\varepsilon^2+\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon}}+Be^{2\ln\varepsilon^2-\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_{1,\varepsilon}}+\sum_{i=1,2}\sum_{j=1,\cdots,k}\frac{(\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-8\pi)^2}{\tilde{M}_{i,j,\varepsilon}-4\pi}=0,$$

for some $B>0$. Thus, we find $\mathscr{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q})\le 0$. □

It is easy to see that Theorem 1.2 follows immediately from Lemma 5.5.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] Bogomolny, E. B., *The stability of classical solutions*, Jadernaja Fiz., vol 24, no 4, 1976, 861-870.

[2] Brezis, H., Merle, F., *Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of* $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ *in two dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, no 8-9, vol 16, 1991, 1223-1253.

[3] Caffarelli, L., Yang, Y., *Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons Higgs model: an existence theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys., vol 168, no 2, 1995, 321-336.

[4] Chan, H., Fu, C., Lin, C.-S., *Non-topological multi-vortex solutions to the self-dual Chern-Simons-Higgs equation*, Comm. Math. Phys., vol 231, no 2, 2002, 189-221.

[5] Chanillo, S., Kiessling, M., *Rotational symmetry of solutions of some nonlinear problems in statistical mechanics and in geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys., vol 160, no 2, 1994, 217-238.

[6] Chang, S. A., Chen, C., Lin, C.-S. *Extremal functions for a mean field equation in two dimension*, Lectures on partial differential equations, New Stud. Adv. Math. vol 2, 61-93, 2003.

[7] Chen, C., Lin, C.-S., *Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. no 6, vol 55, 2002, 728-771.

[8] Chen, C., Lin, C.-S., Wang, G., *Concentration phenomena of two-vortex solutions in a Chern-Simons model*. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze, (2) 3 (2004), 367-397.

[9] Chen, Z., Kuo, T., Lin, C.S., Wang, C. *Green function, Painlevé VI equation, and Eisenstein sries of weight one* preprint 2015.

[10] Chern, J., Chen, Z., Lin, C.S., *Uniqueness of topological solutions and the structure of solutions for the Chern-Simons system with two Higgs particles*, Comm. Math. Phys., vol 296, no 2, 2010, 323-351.

[11] Chern, J., Yang, S., *The non-topological fluxes of a two-particle system in the Chern-Simons theory*, J. Differential Equations, no 10, vol 256, 2014, 3417-3439.

[12] Chipot M. , Shafrir I., Wolansky G., On the solutions of Liouville systems. J. Differential Equations 140 (1997), no. 1, 59–105.

[13] Choe, K., *Uniqueness of the topological multivortex solution in the self-dual Chern-Simons theory*, J. Math. Phys., vol 46, no 1, 2005.

[14] Choe, K., *Multiple existence results for the self-dual Chern-Simons-Higgs vortex equation*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, vol 34, 2009, 1465-1507.

[15] Choe, K., Kim, N., *Blow-up solutions of the self-dual Chern-Simons-Higgs vortex equation*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, vol 25, 2008, 313-338.

[16] Choe, K., Kim, N., Lin, C.S., *Existence of self-dual non-topological solutions in the Chern-Simons Higgs model*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, vol 28, no 6, 2011, 837-852.

[17] Del Pino, M., Esposito, P., Figueroa, P., Musso, M., *Nontopological condensates for the self-dual Chern-Simons-Higgs Model*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol 68, issue 7, 2015, 1191-1283.

[18] Dunne, G. V., *Aspects of Chern-Simons theory*, Aspects topologiques de la physique en basse dimension/Topological aspects of low dimensional systems (Les Houches, 117-263, EDP Sci., Les Ulis, 1999.

[19] Dziarmaga, J., *Low energy dynamics of* $[\mathrm{U}(1)]^N$ *Chern-Simons solitons*, Phys. Rev. D, vol 49, issue 10, 1994, 5469-5479.

[20] Hagen, C.R., *Parity conservation in Chern-Simons theories and the anyon interpretation*, Phys.Rev.Lett. vol 68, 1992 3821-3825.

[21] Han, X., Huang H., Lin C.-S., *Bubbling Solutions for a Skew-Symmetric Chern–Simons System in a torus* , preprint 2015.

[22] Hong, J., Kim, Y., Pac, P., *Multivortex solutions of the abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett., vol 64, no 19, 2230-2233, 1990.
[23] Huang, H., *Existence of Multi-Bubble Solutions for a Skew-Symmetric Chern–Simons System in a torus* , preprint 2015.
[24] Huang, H., Lin, C.-S., *Uniqueness of non-topological solutions for the Chern-Simons system with two Higgs particles*, Kodai Math. J., vol 37, no 2, 2014, 274-284.
[25] Jackiw, R., Weinberg, E., *Self-dual Chern-Simons vortices*, Phys. Rev. Lett., vol 64, issue 19, 1990, 2234-2237.
[26] Kim, C., Lee, C., Ko, P., Lee, B., Min, H., *Schrödinger fields on the plane with* $[\mathrm{U}(1)]^N$ *Chern-Simons interactions and generalized self-dual solitons*, Phys. Rev. D, vol. 48, no. 4, 1993, 1821–1840,
[27] Lin C.-S., Ponce A., Yang Y., *A system of elliptic equations arising in Chern-Simons field theory*, Journal of Functional Analysis, vol 247, no 2, 289-350, 2007.
[28] Lin, C.-S., Prajapat, J., *Vortex condensates for relativistic abelian Chern-Simons model with two Higgs scalar fields and two gauge fields on a torus*, Comm. Math. Phys., vol 288, no 1, 2009, 311-347.
[29] Lin, C.-S, Wang, C., *On the minimality of extra critical points of Green function on flat tori*, preprint 2015.
[30] Lin, C.S., Wang, C., *Mean field Equations, Hyperelliptic Curves, and Modular Forms: II* , preprint 2015.
[31] Lin, C.-S., Wang, C., *Elliptic functions, Green functions and the mean field equations on tori*, Ann. of Math. (2), no 2, vol 172, 2010, 911-954.
[32] Lin, C.-S., Wei, J., Zhao, C., *Sharp estimates for fully bubbling solutions of a SU(3) Toda system*, GAFA., no 6, vol 22, 2012, 1591–1635
[33] Lin, C.-S., Yan, S., *Existence of bubbling solutions for Chern-Simons model on a torus*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., vol 207, no 2, 2013, 353-392.
[34] Lin, C.-S., Yan, S., *Bubbling solutions for the* $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ *Chern-Simons model on a torus* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math.,to appear.
[35] Lin, C.-S., Yan, S., *Bubbling solutions for relativistic abelian Chern-Simons model on a torus*, Comm. Math. Phys., vol 297, no 3, 2010, 733-758.
[36] Lin, C.-S., Yan, S., *On Condensate of Solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs Equation, Part I*, preprint, 2015.
[37] Lin C.-S., Zhang L., Profile of bubbling solutions to a Liouville system. Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linaire 27 (2010), no. 1, 117–143.
[38] Lin, C.-S., Zhang, L., *On Liouville systems at critical parameters, Part 1: One bubble*, Journal of Functional Analysis, vol 264, no 11, 2013, 2584-2636.
[39] Prasad, M. K., Sommerfield, C., *Exact Classical Solution for the 't Hooft Monopole and the Julia-Zee Dyon*, Phys. Rev. Lett., vol 35, 1975, 760-762.
[40] Spielman, S., Fesler, K., Eom, C. B., Geballe, T. H., Fejer, M. M., Kapitulnik, A. *Test for nonreciprocal circular birefringence in* $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ *thin films as evidence for broken time-reversal symmetry*, Phys. Rev. Lett., volume 65, issue 1, 1990, pages 123–126,
[41] Spruck, J., Yang, Y., *The existence of nontopological solitons in the self-dual Chern-Simons theory*, Comm. Math. Phys., vol 149, no 2, 1992, 361-376.
[42] Tarantello, G., *Uniqueness of selfdual periodic Chern-Simons vortices of topological-type*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, vol 29, no 2, 2007, 191-217.
[43] Tarantello, G., *Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory*, J. Math. Phys., vol 37, no 8, 1996, 3769-3796.
[44] Wilczek, F., *Disassembling anyons*,Phys. Rev. Lett. vol 69, 1992, 132-135.
[45] Yang, Y., *Solitons in field theory and nonlinear analysis*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSTIY, KAOSHIUNG, TAIWAN, & NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICS DIVISION, RM 203, ASTRO-MATH. BLDG., NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN
E-mail address: hyhuang@math.nsysu.edu.tw

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, 358 LITTLE HALL P.O.BOX 118105, GAINESVILLE FL 32611-8105
E-mail address: leizhang@ufl.edu